Qalipu First Nation/Federation of Newfoundland Indians

End of Enrolment Community Sessions

Benoits Cove

General Information

The Benoits Cove Community Session occurred on February 19, 2017. It is estimated that 55-65 people were in attendance and the session lasted approximately 2 hours. The representatives for QFN and the FNI were as follows:

- Chief/President Mitchell
- Councillor/Director White
- Elder Odelle Pike
- Alison White, Communication Officer
- Randy Drover, Band Manager

Member/Applicant Question Period

The following issues and concerns were raised by members and applicants:

- 1. Some people indicated they have yet to receive their Enrolment Committee letters.
- 2. People who worked hard to bring about Qalipu, who fought over the years through the FNI, were rejected based on the point system.
- 3. It appears the Enrolment Committee did not consider all the information.
- 4. There was concern expressed about the impartiality of the appeals process.
- 5. Geography should not be an issue in this process. Qalipu is "landless".
- 6. Having to prove a substantial connection with the communities is not reasonable.
- 7. People who are going to Ottawa should not be attached to the Supplementary Agreement.
- 8. Knowing your culture or practicing your culture does not prove you're Mi'kmaq. This is about your ancestry. If you have the bloodline you should be admitted.
- 9. What government is doing now is like what was done to the Indigenous women who married non-Indigenous men and lost their status. Those women fought until the issue was resolved. Qalipu is similar. It must continue to fight.
- 10. Membership in the Band should have been based on bloodline.
- 11. It is terrible that people in the RCMP and the military were not given special consideration regarding residency.
- 12. Those without the right to appeal are questioning their options.
- 13. The point system is terrible and appears to be a tool used to reduce the numbers.
- 14. A mechanism should be found to allow people to appeal self-ID.
- 15. No one had a vote or a chance to say anything about the Supplemental Agreement.
- 16. Why do we need to go to Ottawa all the time? Why can't they come down here? Who is Ottawa to tell us if we are Mi'kmaq?

- 17. A legal challenge is being mounted by a third party (MFNAN).
- 18. We need to take the lemons around the process and turn it into lemonade.
- 19. INAC may not be giving back the complete file. Someone reported the information being returned is just the application. Supporting documentation was not included.
- 20. It appears some people submitted group packages.
- 21. Prominent members of the movement, from the early days, did not get status.
- 22. The system is flawed.
- 23. INAC line is indicating there is no such thing as a 'family package'.
- 24. The agreements are too legal and are difficult to understand.
- 25. Processing time for receiving applications is taking too long.
- 26. Difficult to understand how applications that were the same could be treated differently.